UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/637,495	08/11/2000	Gregory J. Hewlett	TI-28454	7603
	7590 06/04/200 RUMENTS INCORPO	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999			KUMAR, SRILAKSHMI K	
DALLAS, TX 75265		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/04/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@ti.com uspto@dlemail.itg.ti.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/637,495	HEWLETT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Srilakshmi K. Kumar	2629 ·				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>March 15, 2007</u> . 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
,						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Gee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the contined copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	(PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

The following office action is in response to the amendment filed on March 15, 2007. Claims 1-9 are pending. Claims 1-3 are amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baldwin (US 5,986,640) in view of Markandey et al. (US 5,751,379).

With reference to claim 1, Baldwin teaches a method of receiving an image word for an image pixel (column 4, lines 1-4) and the image data word comprises of a plurality of bits (see Figures 4 and 5). Baldwin divides an image frame period into at least two refresh (reset) periods (see column 5, lines 8-20), wherein each refresh period comprises a period in which at least two image data bits are displayed (figure 4a-4e shows the division of sub-frames and column 5, lines

Application/Control Number: 09/637,495

Art Unit: 2629

8-59). As shown in figures 6a - 6e, Baldwin teaches displaying the first image data bit during some, but not all, of the refresh period and displaying the second image data bit during more of the refresh period than the first image data bit was displayed. Further in Figure 6c it can be seen that the bits of the image word are displayed (from the outside to the inside) for each of the refresh periods, although not all bits (bits 2 and 1) of the image data word are displayed in each refresh period (see column 7, lines 14-62), such that a viewer sees substantially the same image repeated for each refresh period of the frame period (col. 7, lines 14-62).

Baldwin does not teach where the bits of said image word are displayed in a same predetermined relative temporal order for each refresh period. Markandey et al teaches in Fig. 3 and in col. 3, lines38-col. 4, line 10, where the bits are displayed in the same order from 7-1 for each frame period. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the same order for the bits in each frame as taught by Markandey et al into the display method of Baldwin as by displaying in the same order Markandey et al allows the use of higher numbers of bits to avoid contouring and artifacts in the final displayed image and does not require high transition of switching time of the spatial light modulator elements.

With reference to claim 2, Baldwin teaches all that is required as explained above with reference to claim 1, Baldwin also teaches that these the display periods are allocated to prevent flicker of the image data bit display by the method described in rejection of claim 1 (column 7, lines 19-25 and lines 34-47).

With reference to claim 3, Baldwin teaches all that is required as explained above with reference to claims 1 and 2, Baldwin also teaches a controlling circuit (119) that receives the

Application/Control Number: 09/637,495

Art Unit: 2629

video signal and determines the amount of time the light should be modulated on the mirror devices (column 4, lines 1-15) in accordance to the limitations as explained above with reference to **claims 1 and 2**; a display device (101) in electrical communication with the controller (see column 3, line 39-column 4, line 22), said display device for providing a modulated light beam to each of an array (117) of image pixels (see column 3, lines 58-62), said modulation in response to said processed image data from said controller (see column 4, lines 16-44).

With reference to claims 4-9, Baldwin teaches in Figure 6e a method of dividing an image frame period into at least three refresh periods wherein a first image data bit is displayed during at least one refresh period (1, 2), a second image data bit is displayed during at least two refresh periods (4a, 4b), and a third said image data hit is displayed during at least three refresh periods (16a, 16b, 16c) and where first second and third image data bits are displayed during different numbers of refresh periods.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments, see remarks, filed March 15, 2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-9 under 35 USC 102 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Markandey et al.

With respect to applicant's arguments in regards to the 35 USC 112, rejection, examiner agrees with the applicant's assessment, therefore the 112 rejection has been withdrawn.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2629

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Srilakshmi K. Kumar whose telephone number is 571 272 7769.

The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sue Lefkowitz can be reached on 571 272 3638. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Srilakshmi K Kumar Examiner Art Unit 2629

SKK May 22, 2007

> SUMATI LEFKOWITZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER